


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PAI HUNG PAN

Application 09/072,959

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 21, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being electronically returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

An examination of the Image File Wrapper (IFW) reveals that an Appeal Brief was filed on November 10, 2005.

37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(v) reads as follows:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

. . .

(v) *Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

The “Summary of claimed subject matter” appearing on pages 6 and 7 of the Appeal Brief filed November 10, 2005 is deficient because it does not map the claimed invention to the independent claims. Correction is required.

In addition, an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed on May 5, 1998. It is not apparent from the record whether the examiner considered the two “Other Documents” appearing at the bottom of the IDS. A communication notifying appellant of the Primary Examiner’s decision is required.

Accordingly, it is


ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) for notification to appellant to submit a supplemental Appeal Brief which corrects the “Summary of Claimed Subject Matter”;
- 2) for consideration of the supplemental Appeal Brief;
- 3) for consideration of the two “Other Documents” appearing at the bottom of the IDS filed May 5, 1998, and appropriate notification to appellant regarding the Primary Examiner’s decision;
- 4) to have a complete copy of the revised PTO-1449 filed May 5, 1998 scanned into the IFW; and

5) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By:


DALE M. SHAW
Deputy Chief Appeal Administrator
(571) 272-9797

DMS:psb

Joseph A. Walkowski
Trask Britt & Rossa
P.O. Box 2550
Salt Lake City, UT 84110